

COURT OF APPEALS OF GEORGIA
DOCUMENT RETURN NOTICE FOR BRIEFS OR MOTIONS

February 23, 2015

To: Mr. Edmund Skoropski, Solicitor General, 1520 Third Street • Suite D, Folkston, Georgia 31537

Docket Number: A15A0763 **Style:** Robert Horne v. The State

Your document(s) is (are) being returned for the following reason(s).

1. Your Appellant's Brief, was not accompanied by the statutory filing fee (\$300.00 civil; \$80.00 criminal *Effective July 1, 2009) or a sufficient pauper's affidavit. OCGA§5-6-4 and Rule 5 **Please be advised that your pauper's affidavit should be notarized by a notary public.**
2. A Request for Oral Argument must be filed as a separate document. Rule 28 (a) (3)
3. Your document(s) was (were) not signed by counsel (No signatures with expressed permission are permitted). Rule 1 (a)
4. No Certificate of Service or an improper Certificate of Service accompanied your document(s). Rule 6
5. Your Certificate of Service did not include the complete name and mailing address of each opposing party. Rules 1(a) and 6. You should provide a copy of your filing to the District Attorney and include his/her name and address on your Certificate of Service.
6. There were an insufficient number of copies of your document. Rule 6.
7. Your document exceeds page limits. Rules 24 (f) and 27 (a)
8. Your document was submitted without permission to file (supplemental brief or second motion for reconsideration). Rules 27 (a) and 37 (d)
9. Letter briefs and letter cites are not permitted. Rule 27 (b)
10. Your request for court action must be submitted in motion form. Rule 41 (a)
11. Your motions were submitted in an improper form (joint, compound or alternative motions in one document). Rule 41 (b)
12. Type was on both sides of the paper; type font was smaller than 10 characters per inch; and/or the type was not double-spaced. Rules 1(c), 37(a) and 41(b).
13. The pages were not sequentially numbered with arabic numerals. Rule 24 (e)
14. Case and/or record citations were not made in the proper form. Rules 24 (d) and 25 (c) (2)
15. Margins were too small or paper size incorrect. Rules 1(c), 24(c), 37 (a) and 41(b)
16. Your document(s) was (were) not securely bound at the top with staples or round head fasteners. Rule 1 (c)
17. The Motion to Supplement has not been granted.
18. **Other: The Certificate of Service must be signed by the attorney. Rule 1(a)**

For Additional information, please go to the Court's website at: www.gaappeals.us

FILED IN OFFICE
FEB 12 2015
COURT CLERK
CLERK COURT OF APPEALS OF GA

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS
OF THE STATE OF GEORGIA

FILED IN OFFICE

FEB 20 2015

COURT CLERK
CLERK COURT OF APPEALS OF GA

CASE NO: A15A0763

STATE OF GEORGIA,

Appellee,

Vs.

ROBERT MICHAEL HORNE,

Appellant.

*
*
*
*
*

BRIEF OF APPELLEE

Now comes the State of Georgia, Appellee, in the above-styled case, and files this brief respectfully showing this Honorable Court the following:

PART 1

Statement of Proceedings

The State adopts Appellants statement of proceedings.

Statement of Facts

On December 14, 2013, while patrolling in Charlton County, Georgia State Patrol Officer Josh Taylor noticed a vehicle operated by the appellant traveling at a slow rate of speed on Highway 23. The trooper testified that he clocked the appellant's vehicle going 41 mph in a 55 mph zone. (T.7-8). When the trooper got behind the Appelleant's truck, he noticed the truck run across the fog and center lines. He then activated his lights and stopped the Appellant. (T.8). As he approached the vehicle and began talking with the appellant, the trooper stated that Mr. Horne appeared to be dazed and not fully coherent. Mr. Horne's responses appeared to be delayed. The trooper saw two beer cans in the center console. Mr. Horne acted like he did not understand what the trooper was asking him to do. The trooper stated that he got him out of the car, Mr. Horne stumbled a little and staggered some. Mr. Horne held onto the back of his truck and leaned against it. Mr. Horne told the trooper that he had drank a couple of beers, but stated he was not drunk. He also told the trooper that he takes some medications for pain and anxiety. According to the trooper, Mr. Horne had a strong odor of alcohol coming from his breath. (T. 10-12). The trooper performed an alco-sensor that gave a positive reading for alcohol. A standard field sobriety test was performed. The horizontal gaze nystagmus test was

RECEIVED IN OFFICE
2015 JAN 29 PM 3:17
CLERK COURT OF APPEALS OF GA
RECEIVED IN OFFICE
2015 FEB 12 PM 2:39
CLERK COURT OF APPEALS OF GA

positive for four out of six clues. Due to the test results and the admittance of the appellant that he had been drinking and taking medication the trooper believed that there was probable cause to arrest the Defendant for driving under the influence (less safe). Stated alternately, the trooper derived the opinion that the defendant was under the influence of drugs and alcohol. The implied consent warning was read to Mr. Horne and the trooper requested a blood test. A blood test was drawn by the EMS station in St. George, Georgia.

At trial, crime lab reports were admitted which showed the following:

Ethyl Alcohol Result by Gas Chromatography 0.042(+/-0.003) grams per 100 ml.

Positive, alprazolam, 30 micrograms/liter (+/-8 micrograms/liter {GC/MS, LC/MS/MS}

Positive, hydrocodone, 35 micrograms/liter (+/-8 micrograms/liter {GC/MS, LC/MS/MS}

Positive, citalopram {GC/MS, LC/MS/MS}

Positive, gabapentin {LC/MS/MS} (T. 61-62)

Part Two

Enumerations of Errors

There is sufficient evidence to support the Appellant's conviction.

Statement of Jurisdiction

This court and not the Supreme Court has jurisdiction over this appeal pursuant to the Georgia Constitution and this is not a case reserved to the Supreme Court. GA. Const. 1983, Art. VI & V, III.

Part Three


Argument and Authority

Based upon the personal observation of Trooper Taylor of three (3) less safe driving acts, the results of field side sobriety testing by the trooper of the defendant, other observations by the trooper of the defendant's person and demeanor, and the results of GBI chemical analysis of the defendant's blood, there is clearly evidence upon which a rational trier of fact could find the defendant guilty of driving under the influence of drugs and alcohol.

Conclusion

Based on the above the conviction that of the appellant for DUI should not be reversed.

This 26 day of January, 2015.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'E. H. Skorupski', written over a horizontal line.

Edmund H. Skorupski, Solicitor General

1520 Third Street, Suite D

Folkston, GA 31537

912-496-2361

IN THE STATE COURT OF CHARLTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

STATE OF GEORGIA,)
)
v.) Case No. A15A0763
)
ROBERT MICHAEL HORNE,)
Defendant.)
)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE


I hereby certify that I have this day served Defendant with the foregoing: BRIEF OF APPELLEE, by addressing a true copy thereof to:

Court of Appeals of Georgia
47 Trinity Avenue S.W., Suite 501
Atlanta, GA 30334

Joe East
P.O. Box 1265
Kingsland, GA 31548

and placing the same in the U.S. Postal system with sufficient postage attached so as to ensure prompt delivery.

This 5th day of February, 2015.


Sandra Bartram
Solicitor's Assistant

Edmund H. Skorupski
1520 Third Street Suite D
Folkston, GA 31537
(912) 496-2361